The crystal structure of amesite. By R. STEADMAN and P. M. NUTTALL, Department of Physics, Institute of Technology, Bradford 7, England

(Received 29 May 1961 and in revised form 15 December 1961)

Crystals of amesite $(Mg_2Al)(SiAl)O_5(OH)_4$ from Saranovskaye, U.S.S.R. (specimen BM. 1940. 77) have been found to possess a disordered form of a structure not previously reported. A small fragment was found, which, though twinned, was sufficiently ordered to permit the structure to be determined.

Oscillation and Weissenberg photographs taken with Cu $K\alpha$ radiation showed that the unit cell was very nearly rhombohedral, the related hexagonal cell having dimensions $a = 5\cdot31$, $c = 42\cdot1$ Å. Doubled spots due to twinning indicated that the hexagonal c-axis was not quite perpendicular to (001), the tilt being approximately 30' in the (100) plane. The structure has triclinic symmetry, but approximates closely to a structure having rhombohedral symmetry R3 or R3. The analysis has been carried out using the idealized rhombohedral space group, which shows clearly how the kaolin-type layers of amesite are stacked together.

The cell contains six amesite layers, one of which is shown in Fig. 1, and it will be assumed that they are stacked together to form a polar structure. In a structure of space group R3, 3-fold screw axes are perpendicular to the layers, so that the 6-layer structure can be regarded as being formed by the operation of a 3-fold screw axis on a unit of 2 layers, the 2-layer unit itself having 3-fold symmetry about the cell origin. The possible structures may therefore be found by considering first the ways in which two layers can be combined to form a unit with a 3-fold symmetry, and then considering the structures which are formed by the operation of a 3-fold screw axis on this unit.



Fig. 1. Projection of one amesite layer on (001). The z coordinates given are fractions of the height of one amesite layer. X represents $\frac{1}{2}(Si+Al)$, and Y represents $\frac{1}{3}(2Mg+Al)$.

In the amesite layer are three different points of 3-fold symmetry, labelled A, B and C, in Fig. 1. Let $A_1B_1C_1$ be these points in one layer, and $A_2B_2C_2$ be the same points in the layer to be placed above it. Three types of double layer can be obtained, with the correct interlayer O-OH bonds, by placing the layers together, similarly orientated, with A_2 on A_1 , A_2 on B_1 , or A_2 on C_1 , and a further three by rotating the upper layer of each of these through 60° about A_2 . These six combinations will be referred to as a, b, c, d, e and f.

Now consider Fig. 1 to represent the lower of the two layers in anyone of them. A 3-fold axis through the atom D, operating on the combination, will produce a structure with rhombohedral symmetry.

The structures formed from a and b give systematic absences, in addition to those required by the space group R3, which are not observed with the present crystal. The structure formed from c is a 3-layer structure, while that from f has space group R3c. None of these need be considered further.

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates referred to the equivalent positions in Int. Tab. for the hexagonal unit cell

	x	y	z	
OH,	0.667	0	0.269	
OH_2	0.333	0	0.102	
OH_3	0	0	0.221	
OH_4	0	0	0.054	
Y ₁	0.333	0	0.245	
Y_2	0.667	0	0.078	
0_1	0	0	0.554	
O_2	0	0	0.887	
03	0	0	0.387	
04	0	0	0.721	
O_5	0.200	0	0.167	
	0.550	0	0.167	
0 ₆	0.500	0	0	
	0.450	0	0	
X_1	0	0	0.514	
X_2^-	0	0	0.847	
X_3	0	0	0.347	
X_4	0	0	0.681	

X and Y represent $\frac{1}{2}(Si + Al)$ and $\frac{1}{3}(2Mg + Al)$ respectively.

Structures formed from d and e are enantiomorphous, with space group R3. Atomic co-ordinates for structure d in terms of the hexagonal cell outlined in Fig. 1, containing six amesite layers, are given in Table 1, the values of z being derived from those given by Steinfink & Brunton (1956). The two sets of co-ordinates for basal oxygens O_5 and O_6 are for an ideal kaolin-type layer and for one in which the tetrahedra are rotated through approximately 10°. Tetrahedra in successive layers, such as those containing X_1 and X_3 , rotate in opposite directions. The disagreement factor R for various groups of reflexions for the ideal and modified structures are given in Table 3. The necessity for rotating the tetrahedra was found by examination of the series 12l, 2ll, 13l and 3ll, and it is in these series that the improvement caused by rotation is most marked, the principal zones being relatively unaffected. Table 2 gives the F values for the modified structure, with some for the ideal structure in parenthesis.

The value of 10° was obtained by adjusting the coordinates of O₅ and O₆ to obtain the smallest *R* value for reflexions with $h-k \neq 3n$, these being most sensitive

SHORT COMMUNICATIONS

Table 2. Observed and calculated structure factors

hkil	F_{o}	Fc	1	hkil	F_{o}	F_c		hkil	F_o	F_{c}	
0006	> 160	179		$0.2.\overline{2}.\overline{1}\overline{7}$	45	64		$2.1.\overline{3}.16$	29	21	(24)
12	> 160	302	1	$(1-1)^{-1}$	30	34		19	42	38	(36)
18	154	153		$\frac{1}{23}$	50	67		$2.1.\overline{3}.\overline{2}$	< 20	11	(35)
94	103	79		$\frac{1}{26}$	23	37		$\overline{5}$	69	66	(59)
24	110	150		20	46	63		8	< 20	16	(33)
' 36	130	152		0330	> 170	329		ĪĪ	59	56	(51)
49	146	131		6,0,0	141	144		$\overline{1}\overline{4}$	36	20	(27)
42	195	149		12	152	125		$\frac{1}{17}$	44	43	(41)
0119	100	54		18	101	97		$3.1.\overline{4.2}$	< 20	3	(27)
5,1,1,2	76	89		24	57	43		5	71	63	(45)
8	10	49		30	110	144		8	< 20	13	(26)
11	66	+0 79		36	71	113		11	52	54	(40)
14	27	36		42	98	109		14	< 20	19	(21)
14	45	59		1120	57	50		17	49	42	(33)
20	40 97	27	1	1,1,2,0	118	47		$3.1.\overline{4.1}$	76	64	(46)
20	30	38		ő	170	210		<u> </u>	< 20	6	(26)
26	< 15	22		ğ	116	174		7	69	61	(44)
20	21	26	1	12	116	106		$\overline{10}$	< 20	15	(24)
1	79	93		15	187	153	i i	$\overline{13}$	61	51	(38)
1	41	53		18	114	109	Į.	$1,2,\overline{3},2$	79	54	(35)
7	57	85		21	144	183		5	42	43	(59)
īċ	37	46		24	157	194		8	43	49	(33)
13	49	66		27	154	118		11	40	40	(51)
$\frac{1}{16}$	$\hat{26}$	31		30	110	115		14	42	39	(27)
Îġ	31	46		33	85	95		$1, 2, \overline{3}, \overline{1}$	43	43	(61)
$\hat{\overline{2}}\hat{\overline{2}}$	< 24	22		36	82	77		4	56	53	(35)
$\overline{\overline{2}}\overline{\overline{5}}$	24	31		39	76	44		7	47	42	(57)
$0.2.\bar{2.1}$	44	23		$2, 2, \overline{4}, 0$	76	81		$\overline{10}$	47	46	(31)
4	29	16		3	92	59		$\overline{13}$	41	39	(47)
$\tilde{7}$	43	39		6	168	170		$\overline{16}$	41	34	(24)
10	39	27		9	106	96		$\overline{19}$	26	35	(36)
13	57	55		12	67	31		$\overline{2}\overline{2}$	28	24	(18)
16	31	34		15	123	115		1,3,4,1	44	33	(46)
19	52	64		18	105	104		4	64	55	(26)
22	28	34		21	106	115		7	27	33	(44)
25	36	63		29	125	122		10	43	49	(24)
28	< 30	35		27	97	94		$\underline{2}$	76	55	(27)
31	30	59		_30	53	55		5	44	34	(45)
$0,2,\overline{2},\overline{2}$	29	14		2,1,3,1	86	68	(61)	8	54	51	(26)
$\overline{\mathbf{\tilde{5}}}$	4 0	32		4	< 20	12	(35)	$\frac{11}{11}$	27	33	(40)
_8	< 30	23		7	64	63	(57)	14	46	42	(21)
11	60	50		10	40	18	(31)				
14	38	33		13	58	51	(47)				

Table 3. Disagreement factors

.

	Ideal structure	Modified structure
$h0\overline{h}l$ zone $hh\overline{2h}l$ zone 12l13l	$0.22 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.40$	$0.21 \\ 0.17 \\ 0.15$
21/31/ Overall	0·20 0·21	$0.14 \\ 0.18$

to this movement. Rotation of the tetrahedra in the opposite direction caused R to increase. It is permissible to rotate the tetrahedra containing X_1 and X_3 in the same sense, but this did not reduce the value of R.

The rotations of the tetrahedra in this structure cause all the interlayer O–OH bonds to be longer than they would be between ideal layers. The same effect was found by Steinfink & Brunton in their amesite structure. Until a detailed determination is carried out, regarding the structure as a 2-layer triclinic one, no conclusions should be drawn concerning the relation between these rotations and the crystal structure.

The stacking sequence occurring in this structure may be described concisely in terms of the vectors used by Oughton (1957). Using this terminology, the rotation vectors S_1 or S_2 operate on all layers, and the translational vectors S_9 and S_4 operate on alternate layers.

We wish to thank Mr P.G. Embrey of the British Museum for supplying specimens, and Dr B. M. Rimmer for helpful criticism.

References

STEINFINK, H. & BRUNTON, G. (1956). Acta Cryst. 9, 487. OUGHTON, B. M. (1957). Acta Cryst. 10, 692.